Metabolic signature of eyelid basal cell carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Eyelid basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common eyelid malignancy. Metabolic reprogramming is critical in tumorigenesis, but the metabolic feature of eyelid BCC remains elusive. In this study, we aim to reveal the metabolic profile in eyelid BCC using targeted metabolomics. Eyelid samples were collected from patients who had removal of BCC and from control patients who underwent blepharoplasty. Multivariate analysis of metabolomics data distinguished the two groups, indicating that eyelid BCC has significantly different metabolome than the healthy tissue. We found 16 increased and 11 decreased metabolites in the BCC tissues. These metabolites were highly enriched in the metabolism of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD), glutathione metabolism, polyamine metabolism, and the metabolism of glycine, serine, threonine, arginine and proline. Amino acid metabolism. Metabolites from NAD metabolism (Nicotinamide; Nicotinamide riboside; N1-Methylnicotinamide) had the highest sensitivity, specificity, and prediction accuracy in a prediction model for eyelid BCC. In conclusion, eyelid BCC has a signature change of cell metabolome. Metabolites in NAD metabolic pathways could potentially be biomarkers or therapeutic targets for eyelid BCC.

1. Introduction

Eyelid malignancies represent approximately 5%–10% of all skin cancers (Tesluk, 1985). The incidence of eyelid malignancies is as high as 15.7 cases per 100,000 per year in the United States (Cook and Bartley, 1999). Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common eyelid malignancy, accounting for 85–95% of all eyelid cancers (Silverman and Shinder, 2017; Yin et al., 2015). Usually located at the lower eyelid and medial canthal region, BCC rarely metastasizes, but it can locally invade into the orbit, sinuses, and brain to cause tissue destruction (Burgic et al., 2019). Although surgical resection with histologic control of margins is the preferred treatment for BCC (Margo and Waltz, 1993), radiation and cryotherapy are commonly used when surgery is not appropriate. However, they have higher recurrence rates than surgical resection (Fitzpatrick et al., 1984; Wong et al., 2002). The molecular mechanisms for the tumorigenesis are still unclear.

Metabolic reprogramming is a hallmark of the tumor (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). To acquire sufficient nutrients such as glucose, amino acids, lipids, and nucleotide for proliferation, cancer cells biochemically adapted to alter their metabolic signaling pathways (Borroughs and DeBerardinis, 2015; Zhu and Thompson, 2019). Metabolic pathways such as polyamine metabolism and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) metabolism are involved in fundamental processes including transcription, DNA repair, cell cycle progression, apoptosis, and metabolism (Chiarugi et al., 2012; Damiani and Wallace, 2018). Many of these processes have been linked to cancer development (Hamanaka and Chandel, 2012; Parks et al., 2013). Ultraviolet (UV) radiation is the most crucial risk factor in the development of eyelid BCC (Yam and Kwok, 2014). UV can induce DNA damage to mutagenize genes in tumor progression or suppression, driving tumor growth (Hussein, 2005). UV radiation could induce mutations in p53 (Hussain et al., 2014), a tumor suppressor, by changing the dipyrimidine sites associated with...
methylated CpG (mCpG) to promote tumor growth (Ikeda and Ono, 2007). Interestingly, recent studies suggest that p53 suppresses tumors through metabolic regulations (Li et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Poly (ADP-Ribose) Polymerase 1 (PARP-1) is a key enzyme in repairing the damaged DNA by UV, which relies on NAD, an essential metabolite in energy metabolism (Murata et al., 2019; Purohit et al., 2016). Additionally, a recent study of metabolites using NMR spectroscopy could discriminate cutaneous BCC from normal skin tissues (Mun et al., 2016). However, how eyelid BCC alters its metabolism in tumor pathogenesis remains unknown.

The metabolites are substrates and products in metabolic reactions, and the changes of metabolites directly reflect the status of cell metabolism. Targeted metabolomics using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS) provides a sensitive, quantitative, and high throughput platform to measure metabolites in tumor tissues (Bondar et al., 2007; Mbeunkui et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011). In this study, we aim to identify the metabolic signature of eyelid BCC by analyzing eyelid skin samples in patients with BCC using LC MS/MS. Among 83 targeted metabolites, we have identified 16 changed metabolites in NAD metabolism, glutathione metabolism, polyamine metabolism, and amino acid metabolism. Additionally, metabolites in NAD pathways have the highest sensitivity and specificity in a prediction model for eyelid BCC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Study participants were patients who underwent blepharoplasty or basal cell carcinoma excision at the West Virginia University Eye Institute. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of West Virginia University and was in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Demographics including age, race, gender, diabetic status, body mass index (BMI), radiation history, and chemotherapy history, were summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Sample collection

The tissue specimens were obtained by one surgeon (JN). Normal skin tissues removed during upper eyelid blepharoplasty served as control samples. We chose the upper eyelid because of the availability of these patients. The basal carcinoma specimens were taken during lesion excision from either the upper or lower eyelid. The tissues were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and transferred to −80 °C for later analysis.

2.3. Sample preparation

All the samples were prepared and analyzed in the same batch. The tissues were quickly weighed and extracted for metabolites with cold 80% methanol in HPLC water (vol/vol) with a tissue homogenizer (Omni International) as reported (Yam et al., 2019). After precipitate proteins on dry ice for 30 min, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube. The supernatant was then dried and reconstituted in 66% acetonitrile in HPLC water containing 10 mM ammonium acetate (vol/vol). 13C-nicotinic acid (Toronto Research Chemicals) was added into each sample as the internal standard.

2.4. Metabolite analysis for eyelid tissues

LC-MS/MS was performed as previously reported (Huang et al., 2019). The extracts were analyzed by a Shimadzu LC Nexera X2 UHPLC coupled with a QTRAP 5500 LC MS/MS (AB Sciex). Chromatographic separation was performed by using an ACQUITY UPLC BEH Amide analytical column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm, Waters) with a guard column and 0.2 μm pre-column filter (Waters). The mobile phase was (A) water with 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 8.9) and (B) acetonitrile/water (95/5) with 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 8.2) (All solvents were LC-MS Optima grade from Fisher Scientific). The gradient elution is 95–61% B in 6 min, 61–44% B at 8 min, 61–27% B at 8.2 min, and 27–95% B at 9 min. The column was equilibrated with 95% B at the end of each run. The source and collision gas were N2. We targeted 84 key metabolites in central carbon metabolism, and each metabolite was optimized for optimal transitions (Table S1). MultiQuant 3.0.2 software (AB Sciex) was applied to extract ions for peak area under the curve. All samples were analyzed in one batch.

2.5. Prediction model of NAD metabolic pathways

We coded the response y as 1 or 0 representing samples with BCC or no BCC by MATLAB Software (MathWorks, MA, USA). The four metabolites in NAD metabolic pathways were represented as x1, x2, x3, x4. The prediction model was written as following:

\[ P(y = 1) = \frac{e^{109.0-22.0x1+147.1x2+851.3x3+32.8x4}}{1 + e^{109.0-22.0x1+147.1x2+851.3x3+32.8x4}} \]

where P (y = 1) representing the probability of a certain patient with BCC tumor. To evaluate the accuracy of the predictive model, we performed k-fold cross validation.

2.6. Data analysis

Metabolomics data was analyzed with Partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), variable importance in projection (VIP), heat map, volcano plot (P < 0.05 and fold change>1.5) and pathway analysis using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) (Xia et al., 2012). The data was not transformed but normalized by a pool samples from the control group and then auto scaled before analysis with PLS-DA. Significantly changed metabolites were enriched for pathway analysis in the background of the human KEGG global metabolic database using MetaboAnalyst 4.0. A receiver operation characteristic (ROC) curve was generated using GraphPad Prism (version 8.0; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). PLS-DA model is used to transfer multivariant factors to univariant factor. Prediction model is created by MATLAB software (The MathWorks Inc., MA, USA). Data were presented as Mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. P < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical characteristics of participants

The BCC participants consisted of 5 males and 3 females. The histopathologic subtypes of BCC were nodular (n = 5) and infiltrative/
morpheaform (n = 3). Seventeen control participants included 10 males and 7 females without lesions who underwent blepharoplasty. Table 1 summarized the details of the clinical characteristics of these participants. There was no significant difference in genders, ages, BMI, and fasting blood glucose (BG) between the two groups (Table 1).

3.2. Eyelid BCC alters metabolic profiles in tumor tissues

We measured 84 metabolites in patients and normal tissues. To compare the overall difference, we used PLS-DA to analyze the multivariate dataset. PLS-DA analysis illustrated a significant separation between eyelid BCC and normal skin tissues in score plots, indicating cell metabolome in patient samples is significantly altered (Fig. 1A). To validate the PLS-DA model, we performed cross validation test. The R2 and Q2 were 0.92874 and 0.75515 respectively indicating the high predictive relevance of the PLS-DA model (Table S2). To validate the PLS-DA model, we performed cross validation test. The R2 and Q2 values were 0.92874 and 0.75515 respectively (Table S2). The internal validation via permutation testing showed when the number of permutations were set at 2000, the accuracy was 0.9985, verifying the accuracy of our PLS-DA model (Fig. S2).” Variable importance in projection (VIP) scores is associated with a significant difference in the PLS-DA. There were 21 metabolites with VIP scores set >1.2. Uracil, spermine, glucose 1-phosphate (G1P), erythritol, glycine, nicotinamide (NAM), N1-methylnicotinamide (N1-MNAM) were the top changed metabolites (VIP scores >1.6) (Fig. 1B). To differentiate the altered metabolites in BCC, we performed volcano plot analysis with a threshold of fold change >1.5 and P < 0.05. Among the 84 metabolites, 27 metabolites were significantly changed in BCC samples, including 16 increased and 11 decreased metabolites (Fig. 1C, Table S3). Uracil, G1P, spermine, erythritol and glycine were top significantly changed metabolites; and kynurenine, carnosine, inosine monophosphate (IMP), nicotinamide ribose (NR) and ornithine had the biggest fold of changes in tissues with BCC. The top 25 changed metabolites from t-test were visualized in the heat map (Fig.1D, Fig. S1, Table S2). To understand the metabolic pathways, we imported 27 changed metabolites into MetaboAnalyst 4.0 to identify the enriched pathways in the background of the Human sapiens KEGG pathway database. The top altered metabolic pathways included glutathione metabolism, NAD metabolism, Arginine and proline metabolism, Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism, and Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism (Fig. 2). These findings in targeted metabolomics demonstrate that eyelid BCC has a distinctive metabolic signature from the normal skin tissue.

![Fig. 1. Overview of changed metabolites](image1)

(A) The PLS-DA score plot clearly discriminates BCC from normal subjects.
(B) VIP score (VIP > 1.1) derived from PLS-DA model is shown.
(C) Volcano plot and column graph (Fold change > 2.0, P < 0.05) of changed metabolites is shown.
(D) Heat map of top 25 changed metabolites. NAM: nicotinamide; N1-MNAM: N1-Methyl nicotinamide; 3-HD: 3-Hydroxykynurenine; Dihydrosphingosine: DHS; PC: Propionyl Carnitine; PA: Pyrogulatmic Acid; 3-HB: 3-Hydroxybutyrate; 2-MC: 2 Methylbutyryl Carnitine.

![Fig. 2. Pathway analysis of changed metabolites](image2)
3.3. Classification models

NAD pathway had more changed metabolites (four in VIP score plots and three in t-test or volcano plots) than other affected metabolic pathways, and it was the top hit in both univariate and multivariate analysis (Fig. 1B and C). NR, a key precursor for salvage synthesis of NAD, decreased in BCC (Fig. 3B). NAM serves as both a precursor and a degradation product for NAD metabolism, and NAM could be methylated into N1-MNAM. Both NAM and N1-MNAM were accumulated in BCC tissues (Fig. 3C and D), indicating that there might be a high rate of NAD degradation in the BCC. As NAD metabolism is central in energy metabolism, and it is closely associated with other affected pathways such as glutathione and amino acid pathways, we performed further analysis of metabolites in NAD metabolism as predictors for BCC.

First, we analyzed these metabolites for Area under the curve - Receiver operating characteristic (AUC-ROC) curve for sensitivity and specificity as biomarkers. Higher AUC (maximum of AUC is 1) represents better sensitivity and specificity. Three of the four metabolites had higher AUC>0.8 (Nicotinamide: 0.978; N1-MNAM: 0.985; NR: 0.838) (Fig. 4A–D). To test whether NAD pathway is sufficient to discriminate BCC from the normal group, we performed multivariate modeling by applying the four metabolites for ROC analysis. The model generated from NAD metabolism pathway showed superior performance (AUC of 1) compared to any of the four metabolites (Fig. 4E). Prediction model based on these four metabolites from NAD metabolic pathway also exhibited high accuracy (Table 2). Taken together, we found NAD metabolic pathway could be potentially involved in BCC pathogenesis.

4. Discussion

In this study, we reveal the metabolic signature in eyelid BCC using targeted metabolomics with 27 significantly changed metabolites. Furthermore, NAD metabolism is impaired in BCC and its metabolites could potentially serve as biomarkers for BCC.

4.1. BCC depletes spermine and influences polyamine metabolism

The changed metabolites are involved in the pathogenesis of eyelid BCC. In our study, we found significant change of spermine (p < 0.01; AUC = 1; FC > 2; VIP > 1.2) (Fig. 1B, Fig. S3, and Table S3). Spermidine and spermine are polyamines synthesized from the precursor putrescine, which is produced from ornithine in mammalian cells (Pegg, 2009).

Polyamines are essential for cell proliferation, involving in the synthesis of protein and nucleotides (Casero et al., 2018). Rapidly growing tissues have higher levels of polyamine and growth-promoting hormonal stimuli enhance polyamine synthesis (Pegg et al., 1970; Russell and Snyder, 1968). Several oncogenic pathways including Myc, Ras, and PI3K regulate polyamine metabolism, and polyamine pathway, which has been proposed as a rational target for cancer treatment (Casero et al., 2018). Additionally, the activity of key enzyme (ornithine decarboxylase, ODC) in polyamine metabolism is increased in basal cell carcinoma (Coni et al., 2019). UV radiation, which is the most important factor in Eyelid BCC, activates ODC activity in mouse epidermis (Verma et al., 1979). Herein, we speculate that decreased spermine level might be caused by the enhanced consumption of the rapid-growing of tumor cells. Consistently, we found the precursors for spermine (arginine and glutamine) were increased.

4.2. BCC and glucose metabolism

Cancer cells are known for active glucose metabolism especially aerobic glycolysis (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). Glucose 6-phosphate (G6P) and G1P, key metabolites in glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, are increased in BCC. Hexokinase, the first rate-limiting enzyme in glycolysis, converts glucose into G6P while glucose 6-phosphatase, the final step in glucose dephosphorylation, hydrolyzes G6P to generate free glucose. Consistently, Hexokinase is critical for the initiation of multiple tumors (Han et al., 2019; Patra et al., 2013) and UV-irradiation induced DNA damage could suppress the expression of glucose 6-phosphatase (Kim et al., 2011). Furthermore, hexokinase II is tightly regulated by P53 (Mathupala et al., 1997), an important oncogene in Eyelid BCC. G1P is an important intermediates in glycogenolysis and it must be converted to G6P by the enzyme phosphoglucomutase (Boye and Le, 2018). The increase of G1P and G6P suggests that Eyelid BCC programs glucose metabolism into active catabolism for its growth.

4.3. BCC alters NAD metabolism

NAD is a pivotal cofactor for energy metabolism, DNA repair, and cell growth (Garten et al., 2009; Kennedy et al., 2016). NAD plays a central role in energy metabolism by carrying reducing equivalents to the electron transport chain to fuel oxidative phosphorylation (Canto et al., 2015). NAD serves as a substrate for PARP1 that plays an important role in DNA repair (Kim et al., 2005). PARP1 was shown to
modify the effectiveness of the p53-mediated DNA damage response for different types of UV-exposure (Valenzuela et al., 2002). In mammalian cells, NAD is produced through either de novo synthesis by using tryptophan, or salvage synthesis by using nicotinic acid, NAM, Nicotinamide riboside (NR) and nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) (Revollo et al., 2004). Nicotinamide phosphoribosyl transferase (NAMPT) and nicotinamide mononucleotide adenylyl transferase (NMNAT) are two key enzymes that regulate NAD biosynthesis (Yaku et al., 2018). NAMPT converts NAM into NMN, which is the direct substrate of NMNAT to produce NAD. NNAMPT could be elevated in cancers to sustain rapid cellular proliferation and tumor survival (Galli et al., 2010). Nicotinamide riboside (NR) supplementation maintains the NAD levels preventing DNA damage (Tummala et al., 2014). NAMPT converts NAM into NMN, which is the direct substrate of NMNAT to produce NAD. NNAMPT could be elevated in cancers to sustain rapid cellular proliferation and tumor survival (Galli et al., 2010). Nicotinamide riboside (NR) supplementation maintains the NAD levels preventing DNA damage (Tummala et al., 2014). In eyelid BCC, we speculate that DNA damage caused by UV radiation can activate PARP-1, which degrades NAD into NAM while activates NAD synthesis by reducing NR. The rise of NAM could be cleared by nicotinamide N-methyltransferase (NNMT) to be methylated into N1-methylnicotinamide (Johnson and Imai, 2018). NNMT is upregulated in many human cancers contributing to tumorigenesis (Ulanovskaya et al., 2013). N1-Methylnicotinamide is important in epigenetics by regulating protein and histone methylation (Pissios, 2017). The significant accumulation of N1-methylnicotinamide could alter the methylation landscape in BCC. Therefore, the dysregulated NAD metabolic pathway in BCC may be associated with tumor growth and DNA damage and repair.

A previous study identified 9 changed metabolites (alanine, aspartate, glycine, phosphocholine, acetate, creatine, fumarate, isoleucine, and lactate) in BCC by NMR spectroscopy (Mun et al., 2016). In our study, glycine and creatine were also altered, but other metabolites were unchanged. The difference could be due to the complexities of tumors and patient populations. The limitations of our study are the small sample size based on power analysis (Fig. S4). Because of the nature of heterogeneity in tumors including histopathologic grade and subtypes, further studies with larger sample sizes and different histopathologic subtypes are required. Additionally, it will be important to trace these altered pathways in stable isotopes in human or animal models to elucidate the interaction of metabolic pathways.

5. Conclusion

Our findings reveal metabolic signature in eyelid BCC, pointing that BCC rewires cellular metabolome, especially NAD metabolism, polyamine metabolism, and glucose metabolism. This study should provide insights into the molecular pathogenesis of eyelid BCC and potential therapeutic benefits of targeting the altered metabolic pathways.
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